

1 Andrew H. Wilson  
WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO  
2 235 Montgomery Street  
Suite 450  
3 San Francisco, California 94104  
(415) 391-3900

4 Laurie J. Bartilson  
5 BOWLES & MOXON  
6255 Sunset Boulevard  
6 Suite 2000  
Hollywood, California 90028  
7 (213) 661-4030

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL  
9

**FILED**  
FEB - 4 1992  
HOWARD HANSON  
MARIN COUNTY CLERK  
by P. Fan, Deputy

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
11 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

12 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY )  
INTERNATIONAL, a California )  
13 not-for-profit religious )  
corporation; )  
14 Plaintiff, )  
15 vs. )  
16 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 )  
17 through 25, inclusive, )  
18 Defendants. )


Case No. 152220  
**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
RE PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**  
Date: February 14, 1992  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Dept.: 2

19  
20 The Application of Plaintiff for an Ex Parte Order to Show  
21 Cause re Preliminary Injunction was considered by the Court this  
22 date. Upon review of the Complaint to be filed in the referenced  
23 action, the Declaration of Andrew H. Wilson submitted with said  
24 application, and the various pleadings and papers in support of  
25 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction also submitted with  
26 said application, it appears to the satisfaction of the Court that  
27 this is a proper case for the granting of an Order to Show Cause for  
28 a Preliminary Injunction.

WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO  
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450  
San Francisco, California 94104

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above named Defendants are  
2 required to appear in Department <sup>5</sup> of this Court located at 3501  
3 Civic Center Drive, San Rafael, California on ~~February 14~~ <sup>March 6</sup>, 1992 at  
4 ~~10~~:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, then  
5 and there to show cause, if any they have, why they and their  
6 agents, servants, employees and representatives should not be  
7 enjoined and restrained during the pendency of this action from  
8 engaging in, committing, or performing directly, indirectly, any  
9 acts constituting a breach of the Settlement Agreement dated  
10 December 6, 1986, entered into between Plaintiff and Defendant and,  
11 specifically, from breaching the provisions of Paragraphs 7(D),  
12 7(G), 7(H), and 18(D) of said Agreement.

13 DATED: FEB - 4 1992

14  
15   
16 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28